

Political Islam

Adopted From Dr. Bill Warner's Books

Part 8— Women

Here is the deceptive, dualistic approach of Islam at its best (actually worst). In Islam, the Koran, and **more** importantly the lifestyle of **Mohammed**, teaches us 2 very different ways to treat women which are really completely opposite, yet acceptable. And the Muslim will take the side of either approach, whichever promotes the cause or they feel like doing.

Firstly, women must be treated with **HONOR AND PROTECTION**. Secondly, women can be **BEATEN** for not obeying and again instructions on how this is to be done are given. If you ask a Muslim how they treat women they will say they "honor and protect them." They will say we in the west we do NOT protect and honor our women. To them beatings are their methods to honor and protect.

Men and women are NOT the same at all in Islam. Women are a **SEPARATE** class. The Koran is clear that if a woman does not obey her husband in even the slightest area she can be beaten into submission. This is how you "honor" them. This is for their own good. Remember, the word Islam means submission!

Our military women have shared stories of how Muslim women are treated. This is not scientific evidence but is more eyewitness oriented. As Muslim women traveled from Pakistan to Afghanistan they were required to be inspected and photographed. The US military had a separate tent set up so women soldiers could do inspection process secretly and privately, Their testimony is that **9** out of every 10 Muslim women showed bodily bruises some including the face which is hidden by the veil. It is amazing that our **FEMINIST MOVEMENT** in America spends so much time worrying about equal pay, equal opportunity, and (and I am not trying to diminish the importance of those) equality in such miniscule ways while saying absolutely nothing about how Muslim men treat their women and very young girls/children. These are real atrocities compared to the causes they currently are championed. These atrocities range from the severe beating and mercy killing of women to marrying 6 year old female children-which is simply pedophilia. By the way a young boy is considered non-male until he grows a beard. I'll let you connect those dots. To these atrocities our feminist movement says nothing. They say they don't want to offend Muslim nations and people.

Again, this is dhimmitude--coercing kafir (feminists and politicians) to submit to Sharia law. Remember Islam is primarily political not religious. Sadly, but not unexpectedly, the feminist movement has no interest here. But, yet they will attack a Christian or Jewish organization very quickly if they sense the slightest area of discrimination. Is it fear, ignorance or intentional on their part? Muslim women are beaten and abused from a very early age and do not speak out because they are simply **accustomed** to it. This is not an aberration. It is typical in Islam. You see, if she submits then the man is required to provide food, clothing and shelter. This is his way of honoring and protecting. If she does not submit she does not get food, clothing, shelter. What a choice!! So, for her own good he makes her submit. See the thought process. It is different than our rationale. You see this is all okay because Mohammed married female children as young as 9 however he "graciously" did not consummate the marriage until she was 12. I am pretty sure this was for physical reasons I won't go into.

Mohammed also was an extensive **WOMAN BEATER**. He even said that "women should be beaten **LIGHTLY** ." My question is who defines "lightly?" By use of a small rod? Lightly, compared to what? Beaten to death? Permanently disfigured? Yet in another place Mohammed says "not to strike Allah's handmaidens." This is the **dualistic deception** of Islam. We in the west do not think that way and do not take time to understand their thought processes and it will be our undoing, politically. By the way, it is also against Sharia law to ask a man why he beat his wife. If you ask a Muslim do you abuse your women? He will answer "no" because it is not forbidden. Which brings me to another aspect of their thought processes. They do not see "right" and "wrong" as we do in Judea-Christian, western thinking. They move in the world of **FORBIDDEN** and not-forbidden (permitted). There is actually a staged process for

making women submit. Beating is the next to the last stage, The last stage is Mercy-killings which are not strict Islamic doctrine but is widely accepted.

The first step in conditioning is how young, very young girls are treated. Usually, by 8, 9 years old (2nd or 3rd grade) most girls/children are married or engaged. There is no age protocol. The reason there is no age consideration is Mohammed married them that young so it is not only okay but encouraged. In our society if a 50 year old man marries a 9 or 12 year old girl and consummates that marriage he would be put in jail (or maybe not now?). This is totally accepted in Islamic culture.

This sheds big light on the importance of the Supreme Court decision on expanding marriage recently. It was more than just a decision for gays. By **REDEFINING MARRIAGE** they opened the door that leads to a slippery slope that ends in pedophilia, bestiality and every other perversion. Could Islamic influence be what is promoting pedophilia behind the scenes today?

Both wives and daughters must be very careful, especially in public with their conduct and relationships. A daughter talking to a boy alone, or a wife or daughter not dressed properly (western) violates the man's honor. Daughters have been put to death for simply wearing western dress and wives have been put to death for far less. Again, why the feminists of the world do not object to all of this is striking. The Koran says that a woman can only receive **HALF** the inheritance of a man. The testimony of 2 women is equal to the testimony of one man. So, even if a woman is raped and has an eye witness, the man says he did not do it; they cancel out in Islamic "court." You would think feminists around the world would be up in arms about all of this but they are deafeningly quiet. My opinion is the feminist are quiet because there is no **MONEY** to be made in defending this! In eternity, men are off to a celestial sex playground while women are certainly not granted the same.

The equality issue seems to only apply at the final judgment where men and women are "equal." Let's examine the fine print. It is true that the Koran says that women are to be treated equally on Judgment Day. They are to be judged on what they did in this life. What they're supposed to do in this life is to obey the men, to submit to them, therefore, their "equality" on Judgment Day means that they will be judged on how well they submitted to men. Mohammed commented that he had seen Hell and the great majority of its inhabitants were women. Why were they there? They had not fully appreciated their husbands. In the same hadith, he made the remark that women were spiritually inferior to men and that women were not as intelligent as men. Part of a woman's "rights" inside of Islam is that she's not as intelligent and she has a much better chance of going to Hell. But even if she goes to Paradise, she is still in for second-class treatment. Paradise for men is a sexual playground, but none of that seems to extend to women, so that even in Paradise, women are not rewarded like men.

Another aspect of being a 2nd class person is in the matter of a woman's conduct during men praying while facing Mecca. She must stand behind her husband so as to not distract and even negate the power of his praying, It is a prayer-hindering matter. I guess she must block the "radio waves"! Now for the offensive part that all feminists should really like. Islamic doctrine says that if a woman, or a **MONKEY** or a **DOG** walks in front of a man praying it ruins his prayer.

Islamic doctrine, the Koran, does not require a burqa or veil. So why do they wear all that? **Because Mohammed made all his women and entourage wear them.** Yes, they are polygamists with as many as four or more wives. Which leads me to another dhimmi aspect. In England they have clear monogamy laws. But they do not enforce them in the Muslim community because there would be a strong uprising. See, this is the forerunner of dhimmitude--coercion to make kafirs accept Sharia law.

If a girl talks to a man without another family member present she is in big trouble and can even be stoned for the offense. She has dishonored her father. Stoning is permitted and sometimes required. Sharia law specifies that in stoning, small stones are to be used to slowly kill by way of torture. And the list goes on.

So, we see that the feminists of the world are afraid to take up this matter. Universities are afraid to teach Islam truthfully. Our media will not cover any of this. All are being coerced to accept Sharia law, this is the beginnings of dhimmitude.